

MDToolbox

Email: support@mdtoolbox.com

Website: www.mdtoolbox.com

Real World Testing Results Report 2024



December 31, 2024

2024 RWT Test Results Report



Overview	2
Real Word Testing Overview	2
Product Info	3
Changes to Original Test Plan	3
Product Summary	3
Scope of Certification	3
Testing Approach Justification.....	3
Testing Results.....	4
Test Method.....	4
Definition of Metrics Recorded	4
Metrics – Summary of Results	5
Participant (End-Users) Demographics Summary.....	6

Overview

Real Word Testing Overview

MDToolbox conducts real world software testing each year. This testing is in addition to internal QA Software testing that is completed by test engineers. This report covers the Real World Software Testing completed Q4 of 2024 (internal testing is not covered in this report).

Real World Testing Approach: Usability Testing – End User Testing and Feedback Sessions

Testing Plan: The testing was conducted following the 2024 Testing Plan. Please see Testing Plan on our website for a copy of the plan. No adjustments were made to the plan.



Product Info

Developer Name: MDToolbox

Product Name: MDToolbox Rx

Version Number: 5

Certified Health IT CHPL Number: 15.02.05.1832.MDTB.01.01.1.211105

Plan Report ID Number: 20231107mdt

RWT Test Plan URL: <https://mdtoolbox.com/meaningfuluse-stage3.aspx>

RWT Test Result Report URL: <https://mdtoolbox.com/meaningfuluse-stage3.aspx>

Changes to Original Test Plan

Summary of Change	Reason	Impact
NA	NA	NA

Product Summary

MDToolbox Rx is a specialized electronic prescribing application that allows tracking patients, patient records and writing and sending electronic prescriptions. Real World Testing is conducted annually and on an as needed basis to make sure the product is compliant and user friendly for all target end users.

Scope of Certification

170.315(b)(3) Electronic prescribing

Relied upon software: Multum/Lexi (Drug Database)

Testing Approach Justification

Usability testing with current active users of varying experience and background is the best way to identify any usability problems, collect qualitative and quantitative data and determine our user's satisfaction with the product. Direct communication during this process will allow for understanding any suggestions, frustrations, or improvements from our user-base.

Test Method

- Randomly selected End-User Participants joined a 1 on 1 Screen Share Test Session where they were asked to follow a pre-determined Test Script to accomplish specific Tasks in the Software. Tasks were related to Certified and Non-Certified functions throughout the program.
- Sessions were recorded and timed by the Test Proctor (an MDToolbox Technical Staff Member). The Test Proctor read the Task Instructions and did not offer any assistance during the Task Time. After the Participant completed the task, the Test Proctor recorded metrics for Effectiveness, Efficiency and Satisfaction of the Software Usage. All Feedback was recorded in detail.

Definition of Metrics Recorded

All Tasks in the Test Script were pre-tested by Technical Support Staff at MDToolbox for Baseline Metrics. Baseline metrics were set for each Task for: Expected Time the task should be completed in, and Expected steps/workflow the participant should take to accomplish the task.

For each Individual Test Session, the Test Proctor recorded the following metrics:

- **Effectiveness** of MDToolbox-Rx by measuring participant success rates and errors
 - Success: Completed the task in the expected allotted time
 - Failure: Did not complete or took longer than expected
- **Efficiency** of MDToolbox-Rx by measuring the average task time and path deviations
 - Task Deviations: Number of unexpected steps the end user completed during the task
 - Task Time: Time it took each participant to complete the task. (Participants that did not complete the task are not included in the average)
- **Satisfaction** with MDToolbox-Rx by measuring ease of use ratings
 - End User Feedback: Based on feedback from the participant at the end of the task

Metrics – Summary of Results

	Effectiveness		Efficiency		Satisfaction
	Success	Failure [^]	Deviations	Avg Time	Feedback
Quickly Find Patient Chart:					
--Using opt 1 (quick find)	100%	0%	0	6 seconds	100% Satisfaction Rate
--Using opt 2 (detailed search screen)	80%	20%	0	44.3 seconds	90% Satisfaction Rate +search by first name questions
Request Rx History	88%	12%	3	9.4 seconds	95% Satisfaction Rate 1 suggestion/feedback+
Write Rx using custom Fav List	80%	20%	4	15 seconds	100% Satisfaction Rate
Write 2nd Rx using custom Fav List	90%	10%	2	10 seconds	100% Satisfaction Rate
Quickly Electronically Send Both Pending Rxs (including previewing the order and target pharmacy)	70%	30%	4	11.5 seconds	100% Satisfaction Rate
Setup/Swap patient fav pharmacies on file	80%	20%	3	14 seconds	90% Satisfaction Rate +pharmacy search questions

[^]Failure percentage includes percentage of participants that did not complete the task or just did not complete in the Expected Allotted Time. In most test cases the participant in the failure category did complete the task but took slightly longer than the optimal/expected time.

+All feedback recorded during the sessions has been included in Internal Detail Logs and will be used for consideration for future improvements to the software

***Note: Relied upon software RWT Testing: (1) Drug Database Software was used for Write Rx test cases

Participant (End-Users) Demographics Summary

Age range of participant:	~ 20-40 (19%); 40-60 (56%); 61+ (25%)
Gender:	M (40%); F (60%)
Credentials:	MD (35%), NP (45%); APRN (20%)
Care Setting:	40% of Participants were in Psychiatric or Mental Health Care Setting, 20% Family/GP, and 40% specialty care clinics
Technology experience:	32% felt they were average experience and 68% felt they were good with technology
Time with MDToolbox:	13% of participants were brand new users to MDToolbox, 25% had been using the software less than <1 year and the remaining 62% over 1 year

STANDARDS DECLARATION

STANDARDS UPDATES (INCLUDING STANDARDS VERSION ADVANCEMENT PROCESS (SVAP) AND UNITED STATES CORE DATA FOR INTEROPERABILITY (USCDI))

Optional standards, via SVAP and/or USCDI, are leveraged as part of the certification of your health IT product(s).

Yes, I have products certified with voluntary SVAP or USCDI standards. (If yes, please complete the table below).

No, none of the products include these voluntary standards

KEY MILESTONES

Key Milestone	Care Setting	Planned Date/Timeframe	Completed Date/Timeframe
<i>Q1 Real World QA Test Planning</i>	All in Scope	Q1 2024	Q1 2024
<i>Build Test Scripts/Goal list</i>	All in Scope	Q2 2024	Q2 & Q3 2024
<i>Perform Real World Testing & Gather Data</i>	All in Scope	Q3 2024	Q4 2024
<i>Create final reports and submit</i>	All In Scope	Q4 2024	Q4 2024 & Q1 2025